**Polimi Fintech Journey** 

#### An End-to-end Voting-system Based on Bitcoin

Stefano Bistarelli

bista@dmi.unipg.it



• Cybersecurity National Lab

http://www.dmi.unipg.it/cybersecuritylab

from:

Stefano Bistarelli, Marco Mantilacci, Paolo Santancini, Francesco Santini: An end-to-end voting-system based on bitcoin. SAC 2017: 1836-1841

#### Summary

- e-voting and end-to-end (e)voting
- Bitcoin technology
- An End-to-end Voting-system Based on Bitcoin



#### Voting systems

electronic voting (also known as evoting) is voting using electronic systems to aid casting and counting votes



## E-voting in Estonia .. BEST PRACTICE????





# Some criticism to some e-voting platforms

- Extreme Centralization
  - Single point of Failure
- Components of the architecture acting as a black box
- Not transparent polls
- This work only if we completely trust processes, servers, DBs, SWs, and on the officers working to maintain the architecture



E2E Voting systems

- End-to-end auditable or end-to-end voter verifiable (E2E) voting systems
  - attempt to cover the entire path from voter attempt to election totals, giving:
    - ▶ Voter auditing, and
    - ► Universal verifiability.





## Bitcoin









Peer-to-peer transactions

No need for third parties

Worldwide payments

Low processing fees

- 2008 S. Nakamoto. Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system.
  Whitepaper sent on cryptography mailing list.
- 2009 first version of bitcoin node implementation Bitcoin-Qt: start of the network and generation of the first bitcoins.





#### After Bitcoin



#### Bitcoin ecosystem





#### **BITCOIN Transaction**



### Send of the transaction

1 - ALICE broadcast the transaction





#### BLOCKCHAIN

4 - All the node (verify and) accept the block and add the block at the end of the blockchain



#### Idea

Why not to use Blockchain to store the vote and associate the vote to a bitcoin token?



### Voting phases

#### 1. Pre-voting Phase:

- a) Candidate nomination and registration process,
- b) Voter registration process.
- 2. Voting Phase:
  - a) Voter authentication,
  - b) Vote casting,
  - c) Vote transmission and confirmation.

#### 3. Post-voting Phase:

- a) Counting
- b) Result,
- c) Audit administration.



#### 1. Pre-voting Phase

a) Candidate nomination and registration process



K\_PUB\_CANDIDATO1\_BITCOIN



K\_PUB\_CANDIDATO2\_BITCOIN

- b) Voter registration process.
  - The public key of a registered voter will be charged with an amount of bitcoins, which represents the election token to be spent
  - Each voter generates her public/private keys, associated to her wallet.



- However, a public key cannot be directly associated with voter's identity, otherwise anonymity of electors would be not guaranteed
  - Anonymous Kerberos authentication-protocol (RFC 6112: Anonymity Support for Kerberos, APRIL 2011)
  - Blinded Signature

#### Anonymous Kerberos (RFC8062 (ex 6112)



## Blinded Signature (Chaum 1983 Advances in Cryptology Proceedings of Crypto)



#### **Voting Phase**

- Voter authentication
  - > Any voter owing a token received in the previous step is authorised to vote
- Vote casting
  - Coincide with a payment to the candidate (sending the token to the candidate)
- Vote transmission and confirmation
  - Coincides with the insertion of the transaction in the mempool and the mining of a block containing the transaction by the miner

#### Vote casting



## Vote transmission: INSERT OF THE VOTE IN BLOCKCHAIN



### **Post-voting Phase**

- Counting
- Result
- Audit administration

Transaction 20					
Input #0 from: previous transaction		1token			
Output #0 to: TDS public address		1token			
			_		
Transaction	n 35				
Input #0 fr	omctransaction 20, index#0, signed	by TDS	1token		
Output #0	>to Alice's public address		1token		
	Transaction 80				
Input #0 from: transaction 35, index#0, signed by Alice Output #0: to Candidate's public address				1token 1token	

#### The architecture





#### ... E-Voting System (some examples)

Homepage

E-Vote (Electronic Vote System)	
Pre-Vote    (registering to service)      Vote    (make your choice)      Post-Vote    (take a view of votes)	
University of Perugia Master's Gegree in Computer Science Student - Paolo Santancini	

#### Bitcoin token vs colored coin assets

- Colored Coins describes a class of methods for representing and managing real world assets on top of the Bitcoin Blockchain.
- Open Assets is a Colored Coin implementation based on the OP\_RETURN operator. Metadata is linked from the Blockchain and stored on the web.

#### Technical Requirements:

- Web Server Apache;
- DBMS Mysql;
- Perl CGI;
- Bitcoin BlockChain (https://bitcoin.org/);
- Open Asset Protocol (Colored Coins

https://github.com/OpenAssets/open-assets-protocol/blob/master/README.md);

- Asset Wallet (es. Coinprism API, Coin Spark, Spark Bit...).





#### coinvote



Asset ID	AYhL6SECPJdkaByyWr8pT2hnE1w52dKoCU
Ticker	coinvote
Туре	Other
lssuer	Adminvote The authenticity of the issuer could not be verified
Divisibility	Indivisible
Asset definition URL	https://cpr.sm/rbEOLx28GW

#### **Contract Details**

Coin holders

E-Voting system coins

>

09380db6f2d15d600760093d469b6b87650a26ec86d5a3efb4f6ad36931b567f							
Tuesday, January 19, 2016 10:31:37 AM							
Bitcoin							
Coinvote	-0.000106	akY5FE3AkQjEbxUXicvqh38dhBq1HYXD5k2 Fees	0.000006 0.0001				
coinvote AYhL6SECPJdkaByyWr8pT2hnE1w52dKoCU							
Coinvote	-1	akY5FE3AkQjEbxUXicvqh38dhBq1HYXD5k2	1				

#### Classical bitcoin vs OAP

- Voting Token can be:
  - X = one Satoshi (10<sup>-8</sup> Bitcoin) + the mining fee (10<sup>-4</sup> bitcoin) (2 times the number of the voters(1 for sending the token in the prevote phase, and 1 for the vote phase)),
    - ▶ N\* 10<sup>-8</sup> + 2N 10<sup>-4</sup>
    - ▶ If 1000 voters and current price 1B= 7637 euro,
    - cost (for voting only) would have been 1527 euro

#### Classical bitcoin vs OAP

- Voting Token can be:
  - An asset (any solution OAP compliant (CoinPrism, CoinSpark, SparkBit) the cost to issue a new asset with CoinPrism is 6\* 10<sup>-6</sup> + 10<sup>-4</sup>; this need to be paid 1 time at the beginning to create asset, and 2N times to transfer assets in the prevote and vote phase
    - ► (2N+1)(6\* 10<sup>-6</sup> + 10<sup>-4</sup>)
    - ▶ If 1000 voters and current price 1B= 7637 euro,
    - cost (for voting only) would have been 1619 euro

### Satisfied properties

- Eligibility and Authentication:
  - > Only authorised voters are able to vote; this is accomplished by the pre-voting phase
- Verifiability and Auditability:
  - It is possible to verify that all the votes have been correctly accounted for in the final tally, and there are reliable and demonstrably authentic election records. The block-chain implements such a public election record, which is public: to modify a block is computationally hard.
- Uniqueness:
  - No voter is able to vote more than once. Doublevoting is prevented by the fact double-spending of tokens is impossible in Bitcoin (see also the post-voting phase in Sec. 3).
- Accuracy:
  - Election systems should record the votes correctly, with an extremely small error-tolerance. The protocol reliability resistance is due to the presence of reliable miners, stimulated by gaining bitcoins as reward.
- Integrity:
  - Votes should not be able to be modified, forged, or deleted without detection. When a transaction is confirmed in the block-chain, votes cannot be deleted or modified. If a vote is modified on the client-side, the voter can detect it once the corresponding transaction is in the block-chain.
- **Vote anonymity:** 
  - Neither election authorities nor anyone should be able to determine how any individual voted. Public-keys of voters cannot be associated with their identity (see the pre-voting phase in Sec. 3).
- Counting and Recounting:
  - Voting system must provide easy functions for counting and recounting, in case of any question about the final voting result. Each valid transaction is permanently stored in the block-chain.

#### **Unsatisfied properties**

- Receipt-freeness (Uncoercibility).
  - To prevent this, it is possible to adopt permissioned block-chains, where the right to read the block-chain can be granted only to some users. For instance, each voter can read only the block where her transaction is registered in order to still maintain the verifiability property; some official entities can be instead allowed to read the whole block-chain with the purpose to count votes. In this way, unless the coercer is on-site during the voting process, the elector can vote for other candidates.
- Data confidentiality and Neutrality.
  - Votes must be protected from external reading during the voting process. Once a vote has been broadcast to the peer-to-peer network, it is not confidential anymore, and can influence successive voters, who can freely read the block-chain and know candidates' addresses. Permissioned block-chains can be used also in this case, in order to prevent other users to scan the whole block-chain and mine all the votes for each candidate.

#### Current and future work: Multichain



#### **MultiChain** Private Blockchain Platform

Coin Sciences Ltd www.multichain.com



#### Current and future work: Multichain

















**Polimi Fintech Journey** 

#### An End-to-end Voting-system Based on Bitcoin

Stefano Bistarelli

bista@dmi.unipg.it



• Cybersecurity National Lab

http://www.dmi.unipg.it/cybersecuritylab

from:

Stefano Bistarelli, Marco Mantilacci, Paolo Santancini, Francesco Santini: An end-to-end voting-system based on bitcoin. SAC 2017: 1836-1841